









2024 Plan Amendment/Periodic Evaluation Timeline

- October 2024 Draft Plan Amendment reviewed by Joint GSP GSA staff
 - Update at County GSA board
- November 2024 Draft Plan Amendment under review by public – maderacountywater.com
 - Update at County GSA board
- December 2024 Draft Plan Amendment under review by public with meeting
 - Joint GSP Webinar
- January 2025 Submit Plan Amendment and Periodic Evaluation to DWR
 - Adoption by GSAs at respective board meetings



DWR GSP(s) Adequacy Determination Corrective Actions

1. GSP Adoption

What DWR said: All GSAs must adopt the GSPs

As of November 2024:

All GSAs have adopted a GSP





DWR GSP(s) Adequacy Determination Corrective Actions

2. Coordination

What DWR said: Coordination among GSAs must continue

- GSAs have continued to coordinate through technical staff
- GSAs have come to consensus regarding data and methodology
- GSAs agree to use the MCSim groundwater model for the subbasin
- GSAs have engaged in multiple rounds of facilitation support services from DWR







DWR GSP(s) Adequacy Determination Corrective Actions

3. Sustainable Management Criteria

What DWR said: More details and explanation on Sustainable Management Criteria must be included, particularly as they apply to groundwater levels, subsidence and water quality

- additional modeling is being undertaken
- detailed critical infrastructure interviews have been conducted
- a clear and consistent nexus between groundwater levels and subsidence has been established





DWR GSP(s) Adequacy Determination Corrective Actions

4. Subsidence

What DWR said: sustainable management criteria regarding land subsidence need to be revised

- additional work has been completed to describe the significant and unreasonable conditions the GSAs are managing the Subbasin to avoid
- document the critical infrastructure interviews
- development of a max cumulative subsidence rate
- describe PMAs that will be implemented to minimize or eliminate subsidence



DWR GSP(s) Adequacy Determination Corrective Actions

5. Hydrologic Conceptual Model What DWR said: Clarify the uncertainty in the hydrologic conceptual model

- Significant work has been done to update the MCSim Groundwater model
- Subsidence modeling package is added







6. Water Quality

What DWR said: Revise Sustainable Management Criteria to better address water quality.

- all GSA technical leads agreed to adopt the Joint GSP key constituents and MT approach
- text has been added to better describe specific water quality degradation that the GSP is trying to avoid







- Updated set of full-page figures for Chapters 2 and 3:
- All Joint GSP appendices. Some of the key updates:
 - Ch. 1: Updates to the GSA adoption resolutions (1.H) with all 2023 Joint GSP adoption resolutions.
 - o Ch. 2: No changes since March 2023.
 - o Ch. 3:
 - Updates to the SMC (3.A and 3.B)
 - Updates to the subsidence and ISW workplans (3.H and 3.I), including the addition of the ISW working group MOU (in 3.I)
 - Updates to information about the monitoring networks (3.K and 3.L)
 - Ch. 4: Addition of the DWR grant agreement supporting efforts related to the domestic well mitigation program (4.B), as a show of continued efforts on that front.
 - o Ch. 5: No changes since March 2023.
 - Ch. 6: Addition of model documentation related to subsidence
 (6.E)



maderacounty.com



Public Review

- Madera Subbasin Joint GSP is public for review
 - MaderaCountyWater.com
 - Comments to Stephanie.Anagnoson@maderacounty.com

